



**U.S. Department of Justice**

**Federal Bureau of Investigation**  
Washington, D.C. 20535

November 9, 2017

MR. JOHN GREENEWALD JR.  
SUITE 1203  
27305 WEST LIVE OAK ROAD  
CASTAIC, CA 91384

FOIPA Request No.: 1387598-000  
Subject: MILLIKAN, ROBERT ANDREWS

Dear Mr. Greenewald:

Records responsive to your request were previously processed under the provisions of the Freedom of Information Act. Enclosed is one CD containing 3 pages of previously processed documents and a copy of the Explanation of Exemptions. This release is being provided to you at no charge.

Please be advised that additional records potentially responsive to your subject may exist. If this release of previously processed material does not satisfy your information needs for this request, you may request an additional search for records. Submit your request by mail or fax to – Work Process Unit, 170 Marcel Drive, Winchester, VA 22602, fax number (540) 868-4997. Please cite the FOIPA Request Number in your correspondence.

Also, records which may be responsive to your FOIA request, were destroyed on December 28, 1979, October 26, 2004, October 27, 2004 and November 2, 2004. Since this material could not be reviewed, it is not known if it was responsive to your request. Record retention and disposal is carried out under the supervision of the National Archives and Records Administration (NARA), Title 44, United States Code, Section 3301 and Title 36, Code of Federal Regulations, Chapter 12, Sub-chapter B, Part 1228. The FBI Records Retention Plan and Disposition Schedules have been approved by the United States District Court for the District of Columbia and are monitored by NARA.

For your information, Congress excluded three discrete categories of law enforcement and national security records from the requirements of the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA). See 5 U.S. C. § 552(c) (2006 & Supp. IV (2010)). This response is limited to those records subject to the requirements of the FOIA. This is a standard notification that is given to all our requesters and should not be taken as an indication that excluded records do, or do not, exist.

For questions regarding our determinations, visit the [www.fbi.gov/foia](http://www.fbi.gov/foia) website under "Contact Us." The FOIPA Request Number listed above has been assigned to your request. Please use this number in all correspondence concerning your request.

You may file an appeal by writing to the Director, Office of Information Policy (OIP), United States Department of Justice, Suite 11050, 1425 New York Avenue, NW, Washington, D.C. 20530-0001, or you may submit an appeal through OIP's FOIAonline portal by creating an account on the following web site: <https://foiaonline.regulations.gov/foia/action/public/home>. Your appeal must be postmarked or electronically transmitted within ninety (90) days from the date of this letter in order to be considered timely. If you submit your appeal by mail, both the letter and the envelope should be clearly marked "Freedom of Information Act Appeal." Please cite the FOIPA Request Number assigned to your request so it may be easily identified.

You may seek dispute resolution services by contacting the Office of Government Information Services (OGIS) at 877-684-6448, or by emailing [ogis@nara.gov](mailto:ogis@nara.gov). Alternatively, you may contact the FBI's FOIA Public Liaison by emailing [foipaquestions@fbi.gov](mailto:foipaquestions@fbi.gov). If you submit your dispute resolution correspondence by email, the subject heading should clearly state "Dispute Resolution Services." Please also cite the FOIPA Request Number assigned to your request so it may be easily identified.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "D. Hardy", with a stylized flourish at the end.

David M. Hardy  
Section Chief,  
Record/Information  
Dissemination Section  
Records Management Division

Enclosure(s)

## **EXPLANATION OF EXEMPTIONS**

### **SUBSECTIONS OF TITLE 5, UNITED STATES CODE, SECTION 552**

- (b)(1) (A) specifically authorized under criteria established by an Executive order to be kept secret in the interest of national defense or foreign policy and (B) are in fact properly classified to such Executive order;
- (b)(2) related solely to the internal personnel rules and practices of an agency;
- (b)(3) specifically exempted from disclosure by statute (other than section 552b of this title), provided that such statute (A) requires that the matters be withheld from the public in such a manner as to leave no discretion on issue, or (B) establishes particular criteria for withholding or refers to particular types of matters to be withheld;
- (b)(4) trade secrets and commercial or financial information obtained from a person and privileged or confidential;
- (b)(5) inter-agency or intra-agency memorandums or letters which would not be available by law to a party other than an agency in litigation with the agency;
- (b)(6) personnel and medical files and similar files the disclosure of which would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy;
- (b)(7) records or information compiled for law enforcement purposes, but only to the extent that the production of such law enforcement records or information ( A ) could reasonably be expected to interfere with enforcement proceedings, ( B ) would deprive a person of a right to a fair trial or an impartial adjudication, ( C ) could reasonably be expected to constitute an unwarranted invasion of personal privacy, ( D ) could reasonably be expected to disclose the identity of confidential source, including a State, local, or foreign agency or authority or any private institution which furnished information on a confidential basis, and, in the case of record or information compiled by a criminal law enforcement authority in the course of a criminal investigation, or by an agency conducting a lawful national security intelligence investigation, information furnished by a confidential source, ( E ) would disclose techniques and procedures for law enforcement investigations or prosecutions, or would disclose guidelines for law enforcement investigations or prosecutions if such disclosure could reasonably be expected to risk circumvention of the law, or ( F ) could reasonably be expected to endanger the life or physical safety of any individual;
- (b)(8) contained in or related to examination, operating, or condition reports prepared by, on behalf of, or for the use of an agency responsible for the regulation or supervision of financial institutions; or
- (b)(9) geological and geophysical information and data, including maps, concerning wells.

### **SUBSECTIONS OF TITLE 5, UNITED STATES CODE, SECTION 552a**

- (d)(5) information compiled in reasonable anticipation of a civil action proceeding;
- (j)(2) material reporting investigative efforts pertaining to the enforcement of criminal law including efforts to prevent, control, or reduce crime or apprehend criminals;
- (k)(1) information which is currently and properly classified pursuant to an Executive order in the interest of the national defense or foreign policy, for example, information involving intelligence sources or methods;
- (k)(2) investigatory material compiled for law enforcement purposes, other than criminal, which did not result in loss of a right, benefit or privilege under Federal programs, or which would identify a source who furnished information pursuant to a promise that his/her identity would be held in confidence;
- (k)(3) material maintained in connection with providing protective services to the President of the United States or any other individual pursuant to the authority of Title 18, United States Code, Section 3056;
- (k)(4) required by statute to be maintained and used solely as statistical records;
- (k)(5) investigatory material compiled solely for the purpose of determining suitability, eligibility, or qualifications for Federal civilian employment or for access to classified information, the disclosure of which would reveal the identity of the person who furnished information pursuant to a promise that his/her identity would be held in confidence;
- (k)(6) testing or examination material used to determine individual qualifications for appointment or promotion in Federal Government service the release of which would compromise the testing or examination process;
- (k)(7) material used to determine potential for promotion in the armed services, the disclosure of which would reveal the identity of the person who furnished the material pursuant to a promise that his/her identity would be held in confidence.

ag

Federal Bureau of Investigation

U. S. Department of Justice  
P. O. Box 536  
Los Angeles, California  
June 30, 1936

80-94

Director  
Federal Bureau of Investigation  
Washington, D. C.

Dear Sir:

Relative to DR. ROBERT A. MILLIKAN, Physics, it was ascertained that Dr. Millikan is a leading man in this particular field in the entire United States.

Dr. Millikan has written numerous books and is one of the outstanding and best-known scientists in the World.

Very truly yours,

*J. H. Hanson*

J. H. HANSON  
Special Agent in Charge

ABL/EL

RECORDED  
&  
INDEXED

JUL 10 1936

N 80-98-408-1

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION	
JUL 6 1936 A.M.	
U. S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE	
LAB.	FILE

7/10/36  
125

ag  
P. O. BOX 553  
Los Angeles, California  
July 28, 1936.

Director,  
Federal Bureau of Investigation  
Washington, D. C.

Re: Experts Engaged in Scientific  
Crime Detection.  
L. A. File 80-15

Dear Sir:

Reference is made to Bureau letter dated July 9, 1936 (80-98)  
relative to the thirty-one letters which were written pertaining to the  
various experts engaged in scientific crime detection in this locality.

The statements contained in the above letters were based upon  
information obtained from reliable investigation officials of the County and  
City of Los Angeles; officials of the Pasadena, California, Police Department,  
and from the University of Southern California at Los Angeles, California.

Captain Harry A. Doyle, head of the Identification Bureau of the Los  
Angeles Police Department; Charles Griffin, Investigator for the Bureau of  
Investigation, District Attorney's Office, County of Los Angeles; Captain  
Clyde Plummer, head of the Bureau of Identification, Los Angeles County District  
Attorney's Office; M. P. Harzenberg, Chairman of the Directors for the California  
State Division of International Association for Identification; Lieutenant  
Spencer B. Mosley, Laboratory Expert of the Los Angeles Police Department, and  
Assistant Chief of Police C. D. Decker of the Pasadena Police Department were  
interviewed by Special Agent A. B. Leckie of the Los Angeles Bureau Office in  
connection with the following names:-

Captain E. C. Crossman;  
C. B. Worchester;  
Emmett Love;  
Ray Billings;  
Dr. Paul Bonors;  
Howard Barlow;  
Dr. A. J. Rosanoff;

Walter Macy;  
Dr. R. V. Stone;  
Goodwin Jones;  
R. J. Barnethy;  
Howard Nutt;  
Dr. E. H. Williams;  
Dr. Victor Parkin;

O. E. Batteroff;  
Robert Rogers;  
Frank Conant;  
Ray H. Pinks;  
Spencer B. Mosley;  
J. Clark Rollers;  
Arthur Haas

Agent Leckie interviewed Lieutenant R. S. Sears of the Pasadena Police  
Department and Dr. Paul Britton, head of the Chemistry Department, University of  
Southern California, Los Angeles, regarding the record and standing of the  
following individuals:-

G. E. Hale;  
Dr. Linus Pauling;  
Morton C. Mosler;

RECORDED

Dr. Robert A. Milliken;  
Dr. A. A. Noyes;

80-98-408-2  
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION  
AUG 3 1936 P. M.  
U. S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE  
FILE

ORIGINAL FILED IN 80-98-408-2

Special Agent E. E. Voshell of the Los Angeles Bureau office interviewed Chief of Police Frank Krusz of Fresno, California, relative to the record of E. W. Verdick, and Agent Leckie interviewed H. P. Nurenberg, Chairman of the Directors for the California State Division of International Association for Identification, relative to this man's record.

Concerning the above experts that have actually testified in the courts, it was learned through informants Plummer, Griffin and Morley that the only man's testimony which has not stood up in the cases in which he has testified is Frank Gompert of the Los Angeles Sheriff's Office Laboratory. These informants qualify the statement by saying that Gompert has tried to prepare himself in too many lines and has not become proficient in any. They stated that in connection with the testimony given by the other experts mentioned herein there are no cases where the testimony has been inconsistent with the results of the trial.

Very truly yours,

J. E. HANSON  
Special Agent in Charge.

ADL:ES